



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

H.A

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,008	08/22/2003	Sung-Jae Moon	YOM-0048	8963
7590	08/31/2006		EXAMINER	
Cantor Colburn LLP 55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002			NGUYEN, HOAN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/646,008

Applicant(s)

MOON, SUNG-JAE

Examiner

HOAN C. NGUYEN

Art Unit

2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,7-10,13-15 and 18-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,7-10,13-15 and 18-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/7/2006 has been entered.

Applicants cancelled claims 6, 11-12, 15-17 and 23-25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-15, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Figure 6 show the gate wires 121 having connection portions 122, which electrically connected to the gate driving wires 132 through a connection members 94 by contact holes 186-187.

The mended feature of claim 1: "*wherein the first connecting lines are electrically disconnected from the part of the first display signal wire*" is **contradicting** with the features in Figure 6; where *the first connecting lines* consider as a connection members 94 and *the part of the first display signal wire* considers as the connection portions 122 of the gate wire. Thus, Figure 6 shows that *the first connecting lines are electrically connected from (not disconnected from) the part of the first display signal wire* to the first driving signal wires (the gate driving wires) by the contact holes 186-187.

Besides, if "*the first connecting lines are electrically disconnected from the part of the first display signal wire*", the first driving signal wires (the gate driving wires) cannot be transmitting driving signals for the first display signal as claim 1 cited in lines 7-8. Thus, this amended feature also contradicts to the features in lines 7-8 of the claim 1.

In remark on page 11, applicant emphasizes:

- The a gate driving signal lines 321 considered as the first driving signal wire and gate driving signal wire considered as the second driving signal wire.
- First and second connecting lines include connecting member 94 and 122, member, member 94 being connected to the driving signal line 321/322 on one end and being connected to the portion at the other end (what is "a part of the first display signal wire" cited in claims 1 and 9?)

wherein the first display signal wire (gate wire) is connected with the first and second connecting lines 94/122.

Therefore, the first and second connecting lines 94/122 must be electrically connected (not disconnected from) to the part of the first display signal wire (gate line 121). **The last sentences in claims 1 and 9 are contradicting to Figs. 3 and 6.**

Claim 2-5, 7-10, 13-15, 18-22 are rejected since they depend on infinite claim.

To avoid any confusion, applicants advice to use same language in both disclose and claims for being consistent meaning:

- first display signal lines consider as gate wires 121
- second display signal lines consider as data wires 171
- first driving signal wire considers as gate driving wire 132 or 321/322 , which may change into the **test driving signal wire** since wire 132 or 321/322 use to test signal lines then cut off.
- second driving signal wire considers as data wire (?)
- first connecting lines consider as connecting member 94
- a part of the first display signal wire 122.

If Figure 6 illustrates an enlarged area of Fig. 3 as emphasized in Remark, Fig 6 and claim 3 should be used the same designated number. Why applicant places different designated numbers for Fig. 3 and Fig. 6?

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-2296. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HOAN C. NGUYEN
Examiner
Art Unit 2871

chn


ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER